**What is the origin of the code?**

See Figures 1 through 6 below.

---

**Re-Use of Eclipse Project or Plug-in (Orbit excluded) or bundle that has undergone a release review.**

(See Definitions on Page 4)

Yes to All

Confirm that the Contribution:
1. Contains No Cryptography
2. Is Developed from Scratch (without incorporating content from elsewhere) – Contact the EMO if you aren’t sure.
3. Is 100% Project License Code

---

**Everything Else**

This includes:
1. Initial contributions to a project
2. Code containing cryptography
3. Non-Eclipse content (See Details on Page 4)
4. Code developed by non-committers, where workflow from Figure 2 or Figure 3 leads to Figure 13
5. Re-use of Orbit components

---

The activity described in Figures 1 through 5 represent the majority of development activity at Eclipse.

**Definitions, Dependencies, Moving Code to Eclipse and Distributing other Eclipse Projects, Plug-Ins or Bundles**

See Page Three
Eclipse Projects now have a choice in the level of IP Review required for redistribution of Third Party Dependencies as defined in [1]:

- **Type A** – License Compatibility Review Only

- **Type B** – Full Review (License Compatibility, Provenance Check, Code Scanned for Anomalies)

The option is available to all projects when creating a CQ for Third Party Pre-Requisites

Project Leads may designate Type A for B via the PMI

New Projects onboarding will receive Type A IP review of its Third Party Pre-Requisites in order to get the project up and running quickly. Projects may request change to Type B reviews at a later date.

Is your contribution eligible for parallel IP? A contribution is eligible for parallel IP if:
(a) your project is Incubating and in compliance with the guidelines for incubating projects. Conforming status is indicated by the following icon: or
(b) in the case of mature projects, the contribution represents a minor change to a previously approved package.

Attach related source code to your IPBug

A Member of your PMC must vote +1 on the IPBug Related to the Contribution

Figure 22

Foundation confirms that it has received all necessary information and code & if the package uses a license not previously used at Eclipse, informs the Board.

Figure 23

Is your contribution eligible for parallel IP?

no

yes

Is the License approved for use within Eclipse?

no

yes

EMO completes Due Diligence

Approved

Not approved

If applicable, Foundation advises Committer of Non-Approval

If Non-Approval relates to Incubating code in the repository, code must be removed.

Work with PMC to Examine Alternatives

If the License is approved for use within Eclipse?

Figure 24

Figure 25

Figure 26

IPBug is marked with the keyword "checkin"

Create about.html (if required) & commit to the repository. Don’t forget to record the contribution in your Project IP Log! IP Due Diligence will need to be completed before the incubating project can ship anything labeled as a release candidate or release.

Create about.html (if required) & commit to the repository. Don’t forget to record the contribution in your Project IP Log!

Figure 27

Figure 30

for an unmodified binary distribution, the following licenses are considered approved as of the date of this Poster’s release:

- Apache Software License 1.1
- Apache Software License 2.0
- W3C Software License
- Common Public License Version 1.0
- IBM Public License 1.0
- Mozilla Public License Version 1.1
- Common Development and Distribution License (CDDL) Version 1.0
- GNU Free Documentation License Version 1.3
- BSD
- MIT

Licenses that are not approved as of the date of this Poster’s release include:

- GNU GPL 2.0
- GNU LGPL
- Sun Binary Code License Agreement

Want to use a source distribution instead of a binary distribution? Want to distribute modified code? Want to use a license not contained in this list? Not certain of the answers? Contact license@eclipse.org.

For an unmodified binary distribution, the following licenses are considered approved as of the date of this Poster’s release:

- Apache Software License 1.1
- Apache Software License 2.0
- W3C Software License
- Common Public License Version 1.0
- IBM Public License 1.0
- Mozilla Public License Version 1.1
- Common Development and Distribution License (CDDL) Version 1.0
- GNU Free Documentation License Version 1.3
- BSD
- MIT

Licenses that are not approved as of the date of this Poster’s release include:

- GNU GPL 2.0
- GNU LGPL
- Sun Binary Code License Agreement

Want to use a source distribution instead of a binary distribution? Want to distribute modified code? Want to use a license not contained in this list? Not certain of the answers? Contact license@eclipse.org.

Figure 17

Figure 19

Everything Else

This includes:
1) Initial contributions to a project
2) Code containing cryptography
3) Non-Eclipse Content (definition page 4)
4) Code developed by Non-Committers, where workflow from Figure 2 or Figure 3 leads to Figure 13
5) Re-use of Orbit components

Figure 6

Figure 8

Is the license approved for use within Eclipse? If uncertain, contact license@eclipse.org

Is the license EPL?

no

yes

Start Here

Figure 21
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Figure 34

For an unmodified binary distribution, the following licenses are considered approved as of the date of this Poster’s release:

- Apache Software License 1.1
- Apache Software License 2.0
- W3C Software License
- Common Public License Version 1.0
- IBM Public License 1.0
- Mozilla Public License Version 1.1
- Common Development and Distribution License (CDDL) Version 1.0
- GNU Free Documentation License Version 1.3
- BSD
- MIT

Licenses that are not approved as of the date of this Poster’s release include:

- GNU GPL 2.0
- GNU LGPL
- Sun Binary Code License Agreement

Want to use a source distribution instead of a binary distribution? Want to distribute modified code? Want to use a license not contained in this list? Not certain of the answers? Contact license@eclipse.org.
Definitions:

“Project License” – your default Project license will be the EPL. Any other licensing strategy requires a unanimous vote of the Eclipse Board of Directors.

“Non-Eclipse Content” – any code maintained on servers other than those of the Eclipse Foundation.

“Under Supervision of the PMC” – refers to general supervision; sufficient to ensure the code being submitted is in line with the goals of the project from a technical standpoint. This level of supervision may vary by project. Determination is to be made by the relevant PMC of the project.

“Submitting Committer” – An Eclipse committer on the project at the time of development. Code developed prior to becoming an Eclipse committer requires due diligence review.

Third Party Dependencies:


And our Test and Build Resources:
http://wiki.eclipse.org/Development_Resources/IP/Test_and_Build_Dependencies

Moving Code to Eclipse:

Interested in moving code from somewhere else to Eclipse and maintaining it at Eclipse?
Contact emo@eclipse.org

Distributing Eclipse Projects, Plug-Ins & Bundles – Guidelines:

Release Candidate Distributions must not contain Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not “RC1” or final release “1.0”) distributions from other Eclipse Projects as such releases may contain non-reviewed and approved content.

Release Candidate Distributions may pre-req Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not “RC1” or final release “1.0”) distributions from other Eclipse Projects provided the downstream consumer is made aware that the content that is being pre-req’d may contain non-reviewed and approved content.

Non-Release Candidate Distributions may contain Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not “RC1” or final release “1.0”) distributions from other Eclipse Projects.

Simultaneous Release: All Projects participating in the Release Candidate for the simultaneous release must be Release Candidate themselves. The above guidelines apply to any Project wishing to pre-req or incorporate other Eclipse Projects.

IP Process Questions:
Please contact emo-ip-team@eclipse.org