




Industry Working Groups

October 2012

IWG Revenue



IWG P&L





M2M Industry Working Group

- Launched m2m.eclipse.org portal
- Finished a series of 4 webinars
 - Average registration rate of 100/webinar, approx. 50 attendee/webinars
- Participate in the LeWeb conference in Paris – theme is Internet of Things
 - Sierra Wireless and Eurotech co-sponsoring the Eclipse M2M booth
- Focus is on recruitment of new members
 - Axeda becoming more active in the group

Auto-IWVG Update

Steering Committee Members



Participant Members



Current

- Ongoing technical work
- Amalthea project integration behind schedule
- regular core- and consumer calls
- WP5 creates good feedback in industry

Upcoming

- Next meeting before ECE (Oct. 22)
- EATOP project proposal (Q4)
- Funding planning

Polarsys Update

Steering Committee Members



Participant Members



Current

- Top Level Project Charter published
- Obeo elected of Participating member to Steering committee

Upcoming

- Technical planning meeting Paris Oct. 18
- Next meeting before ECE (Oct. 22)
- gPM project contribution

Polarsys Financials

- Ericsson - steering committee level (\$30'000)
- Thales - steering committee level (\$30'000)
- Airbus - steering committee level (\$30'000)
- Obeo - participating member (\$ 5'000)
- Intecs - participating member (\$ 5'000)
- Atos - participating member (\$ 10'000)
- Soyatec - participating member (\$1'500)
- Sum 2012 to date: \$111'500
- Expected in Q4: Astrium (\$30'000)
- Expected in Q4: CEA List (\$30'000)



•LTS Status report

•Steering Committee Members



Computer Associates®



•Premium Members



•Successes

- LTS forge being trialed
- IWG created officially
- Steering Committee formed
- Polarsys members invited to join
- People thinking about LTS & readiness

•Challenges

- Spent: \$170K+, Revenue: \$95K.
- Reasons given for not joining LTS IWG include
 - Use the latest & never had an issue
 - Juno is a small fraction of their needs
 - They have committers on the projects already
 - Policies require them to build from source on their hardware
 - Just want to pay \$X for the bug they care about to be fixed

•Next steps

- Need more suppliers to attract consumers
- Need more consumers to attract suppliers
- Continue work on forge & CBI

CBI Status report



Sopot Çela

@smcela



Following

Just built [#Eclipse](#) SDK using maven clean install. This opens up a wide range of possibilities. Kudos to [#platform](#) [#cbi](#)

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2012

Eclipse Platform CBI build just hit Fedora!

I think that by now almost everyone had heard of Tycho - a maven-like approach for building OSGi bundles, and the CBI initiative, which is about making Eclipse builds as easy as possible.

I'm proud to announce that the early adopter of everything, [Fedora Rawhide](#), has just received an Eclipse built with Tycho.

•Successes

- Eclipse platform cutting over (<11 bugs to go – ETA Nov. 2012)
- MacOS & Windows signing service done
- 7 interns from UCOSP working on Maven/Nexus unification
- Much positive feedback from community
- CDT, Egit, Jgit, Mylyn, m2e, Tycho, etc. using CBI

Noteworthy

- CBI emerging as a significant differentiator vs. Github and other forges.

•Challenges

- Likely to lose Igor (Sonatype) soon if not already
- Platform team limited time to push Eclipse platform build to completion
- Work towards solving nasty software distribution issues involving p2 & Maven

•Next steps

- Complete cut over of Eclipse platform
- Give Thanh Ha time to develop into a Tycho guru
- Influence others to switch to CBI
- Continue CBI evolution
- Architect & Design p2/Maven solution



•Steering Committee Members



Good level of interest & continued growth.

•Successes

- IWG created officially
- Forge coming on-line, web site on-line
- Steering Committee meeting regularly
- IWG meeting regularly
- Project proposals: 1 done, 1 in progress, a few more likely in 2012, and more coming.

•Next steps

- Forge on-line, operating
- Build momentum! Sign members, project proposals, promote the group

•Challenges

- A pair of notable “founders” had to back out due to poor financial results & restructuring
- Often repeating ourselves explaining what the difference is between Github (infrastructure) and Eclipse LocationTech (infrastructure + community + foundation)
- Some minor animosity from particularly zealous OSGeo community who feel LocationTech is a threat