Ganymede

Coordinated build

– Worked, but broken too frequently, needs improvement

Coordinated testing

– Community driven, package owners provided some cycles

– No continuous testing

– Lack of product-level testing or features for EPP builds

– Risk and history cross-project bugs (memory, handles, Mac)

Confusion

Was Ganymede a coordinated product line or a build?

Expectations

Adopters

– Need quality frameworks and APIs, reusable beyond SDK, WTP

❌ Difficult to count on quality across other projects

Users

– Adoption has grown thanks to a free base "product"

– EPP distributions encourage product/support expectations

❌ Support expectations impact "Eclipse quality"

Committers

– Faced with user and adopter expectations day-to-day

❌ Lack infrastructure for adopter expectations (test, build)

❌ On many projects, cannot support product-level expectations

Galileo

Problem summary

- Either we are not in the sweet spot between "product" and API…
- Or we are not communicating expectations clearly

Discussion? Working group?

– Define Galileo expectations for users and adopters

– Determine missing requirements and dependencies (e.g. testing)

– Committer reps communicate results

Questions

– Product vs. framework split for Galileo

– Testing needs for Galileo adopters

– Setting expectations for Galileo users