MicroProfile Steering Committee Meeting - April 27th, 2021

Tweeted pic [here](#), recording here, meeting minutes folder [here](#)

Meeting Attendees	
Steering Committee (Quorum = 6)	**Community** (Name, organization)
Atlanta JUG - **Summers Pittman**, Vincent Mayers Fujitsu - **Kenji Kazamura** IBM - **Kevin Sutter**, **Emily Jiang** iJUG - Jelastic - Ruslan Synytsky, **Tetiana Fydorenchyk** Garden State JUG - **Chandra Guntur**, Michael Redlich Oracle - **Ed Bratt**, **Dmitry Kornilov** Payara - **Rudy De Busscher** Red Hat - **John Clingan**, **Roberto Cortez** Tomitribe - David Blevins, **Amelia Eiras** Committer Member: **Edwin Derks** **Eclipse Foundation** **Paul Buck** **Sharon Corbett** **Ivar Grimstad** Tanja Obradovic	●

Agenda Item	Minutes
Past meeting minutes approval	Approved via [microprofile-wg email thread]().
Business since last Steering Committee Meeting	
Current Items	**MicroProfile Patent Options Discussion** Meeting consumed all 60 minutes of Live Hangout time slot. [Presentation]. EMO presented on Patent Policy options since the MicroProfile Working Group needs to select an option.The two options are "Implementation Patent" or "Compatible Implementation Patent". - Discussion - Compatible Implementation Policy - protects against fragmentation and patents become a legal enforcement approach to compatibility. The Spark Plug community discussed this being a barrier to adoption. - Patent license - implementations that do not have to 100% pass the TCK. This approach favors adoption over compliance.Whether or not an implementation is compatible becomes a legal question. - Only one patent license policy applies per spec project. MicroProfile specifications are managed under a single project. Theoretically, if MicroProfile split specs into separate projects, each project could choose its patent policy with approval by the Steering Committee. - Is there a 3rd option - Collective Defense? Currently not an option. - Paul noted that Jakarta EE working group chose the compatible implementation license and that the Spark Plug working group is in discussion on IP patent policy. - Paul recommended a straw poll vote to understand where we stand as a working group on the two patent options. We agreed to give it two weeks. This would be followed up by a formal vote on one of the two (draft) resolutions outlined in the presentation. - The first 15 minutes discussed whether or not the meeting should be recorded based on an objection. It was pointed out that any member of the Steering Committee can request that a meeting not be recorded. The meeting was not recorded - The EMO presenters did not consent to recording the agenda topic. This was communicated to the chair as the call was

	getting underway. ○ We agreed that Steering Committee members must be notified of non-recorded meetings ahead of time. ○ For reference, the [Eclipse Foundation Intellectual Property Policy](#).
Next Steering Committee call	See [MicroProfile Calendar](#)
Parking Lot	Compatibility Certification Request Format