OpenHW Group Member Survey Part A - Governance

This is Part A of a two-part survey for OpenHW Group members.

Part A, this survey, asks about ecosystem benefits and governance. Part B asks about OpenHW projects.

Survey data will be anonymously collated and presented to members. The data will be used by OpenHW Staff to identify improvements in governance.

Each member company/organization should return a single response for Part A and Part B. Parts A and B can be filled in by the same person or different persons within the company/organization.

| lequired | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ember Information | | Member | information will not be inclu | ded in summary of re | esults presented to OpenHW membe |
| Company/Organization Name * | | | | | |
| Name * Name of person filling in survey on behalf of company | r/organization | | | | |
| penHW Group Ecosystem | Motivat | ions for partic | ipating in the OpenHW Grou | p Ecosystem and sug | ggestions for improving the ecosyst |
| Considering potential benefits of particip | ation in open-s | ource deve | elopment at OpenHW | Group, rate the i | importance of the following |
| Mark only one oval per row. | | | | | |
| | Very Important | Important | Somewhat Important | Not important | |
| Freedom to innovate using open source IP developed by OpenHW | | | | | |
| Community-based development of baseline features | | | | | |
| Lower development costs | | | | | |
| Reduce time-to-market | | | | | |
| Have full access to source code | | | | | |
| Build cooperation between industry and researchers | | | | | |
| Support digital sovereignty of nation/region | | | | | |
| | | u a wa sha a ala | ove open-source ben | efits. | |

| | Very Important | Important | Somewhat Important | Not important |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|--|
| luence/make use of specifications | | | | |
| Influence/make use of open-source development | | | | |
| Gain industry contacts | | | | |
| Gain industry awareness | | | | |
| Gain industry influence | | | | |
| Gain staff expertise | | | | |
| | | | | |
| mportance of OpenHW activities to you | ır company/orga | nization | | |
| | ır company/orga Very Important | | Somewhat Important | Not Important |
| | | | Somewhat Important | Not Important |
| Mark only one oval per row. | | | Somewhat Important | Not Important |
| Mark only one oval per row. Processor IP development | | | Somewhat Important | Not Important O |
| Mark only one oval per row. Processor IP development SW development | | | Somewhat Important O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | Not Important O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O |
| Mark only one oval per row. Processor IP development SW development HW development (Systems on Chip, boards) | | | Somewhat Important O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | Not Important O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O |
| Processor IP development SW development HW development (Systems on Chip, boards) Interconnect development | | | Somewhat Important O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | Not Important O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O |
| Mark only one oval per row. Processor IP development SW development HW development (Systems on Chip, boards) Interconnect development Research | | | Somewhat Important O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | Not Important O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O |

5. How important are the following benefits of participation in OpenHW Group?

| Mark only one oval per row. | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---|--------|
| \ | Very Effective | Effective S | Somewhat Effective N | ot Effective | | |
| Processor IP development | | | | | | |
| SW development | | | | | | |
| HW development | | | | | | |
| Interconnect development | | | | | | |
| Research | | | | | | |
| Verification | | | | | | |
| Formal Verification | | | | | | |
| Specification | | | | | | |
| | | system, or sugge | estions for improvement | | | |
| Provide any comments regarding to | he OpenHW ecos | | estions for improvement | Effectiveness of OpenI | IW Group Working Groups, Task Groups, a | nd pro |
| Provide any comments regarding t | Groups/ Task | Groups etings/projects o | of the following: | | W Group Working Groups, Task Groups, a | nd pro |
| penHW Group Governance Participation in Working G Your company/organization's par | Froups/ Task ticipation in mee | Groups etings/projects o | | | W Group Working Groups, Task Groups, a | nd pro |
| penHW Group Governance Participation in Working G Your company/organization's par Mark only one oval per row. Technical Working Group (T | Froups/ Task ticipation in mee | Groups etings/projects o | of the following: | | W Group Working Groups, Task Groups, a | nd pro |
| Provide any comments regarding to penHW Group Governance Participation in Working Governance your company/organization's part Mark only one oval per row. Technical Working Group (Tores TG | Froups/ Task ticipation in mee | Groups etings/projects o | of the following: | | W Group Working Groups, Task Groups, a | nd pro |
| Provide any comments regarding to penHW Group Governance Participation in Working Company/organization's participation one oval per row. Technical Working Group (Township Group Group (Township Group Group Group (Township Group Group Group (Township Group Group Group (Township Group Group Group Group Group Group Group (Township Group | Froups/ Task ticipation in mee | Groups etings/projects o | of the following: | | W Group Working Groups, Task Groups, a | nd pro |
| Provide any comments regarding to penHW Group Governance Participation in Working Governance Your company/organization's part Mark only one oval per row. Technical Working Group (Tores TG | Froups/ Task ticipation in mee | Groups etings/projects o | of the following: | | W Group Working Groups, Task Groups, a | nd pro |
| Your company/organization's par Mark only one oval per row. Technical Working Group (T Cores TG HW TG | Froups/ Task ticipation in mee | Groups etings/projects o | of the following: | | W Group Working Groups, Task Groups, a | nd pro |

8. How effectively does OpenHW Group address these topics?

| echnical Working Group (TWG) | | | | - |
|--|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Cores TG | | | | - |
| | | | | - |
| HW TG | | | | - |
| SW TG | | | | - |
| Verification TG | | | | - |
| Marketing Working Group (MWG) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| DpenHW Group Process | an of official angular | | | |
| OpenHW Group Process Please evaluate these processes in term Mark only one oval per row. Contribution Process | | Effective Somewh | at Effective No | t Effective |
| Please evaluate these processes in term | | Effective Somewh | at Effective No | t Effective |
| Please evaluate these processes in term Mark only one oval per row. Contribution Process | | Effective Somewh | at Effective No | t Effective |
| Please evaluate these processes in term Mark only one oval per row. Contribution Process Project Gate Process | | Effective Somewh | at Effective No | t Effective |
| Please evaluate these processes in term Mark only one oval per row. Contribution Process Project Gate Process Voting Process | Ve | Effective Somewh | at Effective No | t Effective |

11. Effectiveness of Working Groups/ Task Groups
How well do these groups accomplish their objectives?

15. Overall Effectiveness of OpenHW Group

Please evaluate the effectiveness of OpenHW Group in the following areas

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms