

MicroProfile Steering Committee Meeting - April 27th, 2021

Rules

1. DO NOT EDIT AGENDA. This is the responsibility of the meeting moderator with input from the steering committee and community. Agenda items should be discussed in the mailing list/forum or in prior Steering Committee meetings first.
2. Prefer comments occur in mailing lists/forums.
3. Document editing is enabled for community to add themselves to list of community contributors during call

Tweeted pic [here](#), recording here, meeting minutes folder [here](#)

Meeting Attendees	
<u>Steering Committee</u> (Quorum = 6)	<u>Community</u> (Name, organization)
Atlanta JUG - Summers Pittman , Vincent Mayers Fujitsu - Kenji Kazamura IBM - Kevin Sutter , Emily Jiang iJUG - Jelastic - Ruslan Synytsky, Tetiana Fydorenchyk Garden State JUG - Chandra Guntur , Michael Redlich Oracle - Ed Bratt , Dmitry Kornilov Payara - Rudy De Busscher Red Hat - John Clingan , Roberto Cortez Tomitribe - David Blevins, Amelia Eiras Committer Member: Edwin Derks <u>Eclipse Foundation</u> Paul Buck Sharon Corbett Ivar Grimstad Tanja Obradovic	•

Agenda Item	Minutes
Past meeting minutes approval	Approved via microprofile-wg email thread .
Business since last Steering Committee Meeting	
Current Items	<p><u>MicroProfile Patent Options Discussion</u> Limited to 30 minutes since sharing time on the Live Hangout</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation • Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Compatible Implementation Policy - protects against fragmentation and patents become a legal enforcement approach to compatibility. The Spark Plug community discussed this being a barrier to adoption. ○ Patent license - implementations that do not have to 100% pass the TCK. This approach favors adoption over compliance. Whether or not an implementation is compatible becomes a legal question. ○ Only one patent license policy applies per spec project. MicroProfile specifications are managed under a single project. Theoretically, if MicroProfile split specs into separate projects, each project could choose its patent policy with approval by the Steering Committee. ○ Is there a 3rd option - Collective Defense? Currently not an option. ○ Paul noted that Jakarta EE working group chose the compatible implementation license and that the Spark Plug working group is in discussion on IP patent policy. ○ Paul recommended a straw poll vote to understand where we stand as a working group. We agreed to give it two weeks. This would be followed up by a formal vote on one of the two (draft) resolutions outlined in the presentation. ○ We agreed that Steering Committee members must be notified of non-recorded meetings ahead of time.
Next Steering Committee call	See MicroProfile Calendar
Parking Lot	Compatibility Certification Request Format