



Jakarta EE Membership Survey

- > Launched after the first Jakarta EE Working Group meetings in 2022
 - Opened for 4 weeks
- > Low response rate
 - Survey sent to jakarta.ee-wg mailing list that has 290 subscribers
 - Received only 13 responses
- > Responses received mostly from Jakarta EE working Group members
 - The list is public and open to non-working group members as well
- Questions are built around the work, collaboration, programs of the Working Group and high level feedback on 2021







Jakarta EE Membership Survey Summary

- > Working Group meetings
 - 88.9 % said good use of their time
- > Working Group work awareness
 - 77 % said well informed about the working being done by the working group
 - 62% seems to be positive by the information shared via jakarta.ee-wg mailing list
- > Working Group collaboration
 - 62% gave positive feedback on team spirit
 - 77% gave positive feedback on member collaboration






Jakarta EE Membership Survey Summary


- > Most valuable programs are
 - Compatibility
 - Virtual events: JakartaOne Livestream and Jakarta Tech Talk



- Developer Certification
- Developer Badging
- Jakarta EE Evangelist /Champion Program

Note: 54% would consider *increasing* membership fees to support these new programs










Jakarta EE Membership Survey Summary

- > Helping acquire more members
 - 85% indicated they may be able to help



- > Interest in Jakarta EE working group F2F meetings
 - 92% indicated there is an interest to plan F2F meetings
 - All indicated that EclipseCon 2022 may be an opportunity for this







What went well in 2021?

- We made good progress
- Jakarta EE 9.1 release
- JakartaOne Livestream sessions
- Discussion of goals for improving the Jakarta EE development process, especially around TCK refactoring.
- Great communication. Clear message. Good branding. Efficient multi-channels communication.
- Updated website
- We keep the platform open very well. Have a full discussion of the relevant topics
- Meetings every two weeks
- The work of Steering and Specification Committee



What did not go well and can be improved?

- Quite a bit of decisions and votes, but less clear who's actually going to do something.
- Disappointed in Red Hat's reduced participation
- Need better summarize all the work going on; it is super difficult to stay on top of everything that is happening.
- Not finished refactoring the Platform TCK for Jakarta EE 10, so refactoring will continue after EE 10.
- Not specific to 2021, but penetration of Jakarta EE in big companies (e.g. first tier banks) can be improved....
- TCK package renaming: chaotic and mostly missing communication.
- Difficulty getting resources for Jakarta EE 10.
- MicroProfile and Jakarta Working group CN4J meetings did not achieve much and the meetings stopped without future direction.
- Would like to have seen faster evolution toward new specs and updates
- In addition to the existing communication channels, in order to ensure that the work that needs to be done and what is known can be understood by the relevant members, there should be a dedicated venue for such notifications, such as a forum or a BBS.

THANK YOU!



