

# Jakarta EE Future Directions - interest group meeting-20241114\_130802-Meeting Recording

November 14, 2024, 5:08PM

22m 20s

● **Neil Patterson** started transcription

**NP** **Neil Patterson** 0:13

OK. If everybody could come back on, that'd be great.

I will share.

Green.

OK, so the question came up earlier and I want to address it again in the recording.

We are looking to cast a wide net with with participation in this interest group. We want to have as many.

People participating in, you know, getting ideas on on into the next about which you know, directions. We can take the technology.

Information we can share with the spec committees with the working group with.

With the marketing team, you know kind of nothing's off the off the table and so you don't have to be a member of.

The the working group or a member of the.

Any of the committees to participate, but you will, when you, if you do want to participate, you do have to get an Eclipse membership so you have to basically have a an eclipse ID to be able to participate in the.

In the interest group.

Based on our discussion earlier.

Michael, I'd love to see, you know, people that are more focused on other languages, you know, have ideas that that maybe they're looking at Java as a they have to work with Java in, in, in part of their organisation or something.

You know, have them be able to participate in and contribute ideas about things that we we could be looking at for to Carnegie going forward.

**MR** **Michael Redlich** 2:22

Yeah, absolutely. That would be awesome. I know somebody like keto man was interested.

**NP** Neil Patterson 2:23

So.

**MR** Michael Redlich 2:28

You know, and participating. So I can, you know, I can let them know. So yeah. So I should have them reach out to you if.

**NP** Neil Patterson 2:32

Yep, yeah.

Yeah. Certainly reach out to me and then, you know there I'm. I've got the interest group.

**MR** Michael Redlich 2:37

If they're interested.

**NP** Neil Patterson 2:46

Project link up on the screen. I'll put it in chat just for consistency.

**MR** Michael Redlich 2:50

Mm hmm mm hmm.

**NP** Neil Patterson 2:54

If I can find it.

Oh, it's over here.

Sorry.

And they can. They can come in and they can look at the, you know, the options of joining the interest group if they already have an eclipse membership, they can submit to join, and if not, they can get an Eclipse membership. And of course, move forward with that. We do have go ahead.

**T** Tanja (Guest) 3:27

Just to clarify that is that is.

Eclipse membership is required for the organisations. If an individual wants to join the meetings and participate as an individual on these calls, they're more more than

welcome to do so.

All they need to do is at the bottom of this page that Neil is showing, there is a mailing list.

**MR Michael Redlich** 3:55  
OK.

**T Tanja (Guest)** 3:55  
Subscribe to the mailing list and and.  
They will be notified of the meetings or any. I guess the important announcements are related to the interest group. So for the individuals, all they need is Eclipse Foundation account which is just a.

**NP Neil Patterson** 4:13  
I I that that's what I was hoping.  
It's the Eclipse Foundation account that is important, doesn't have to be a member organisation or anything like that so.

**T Tanja (Guest)** 4:21  
Yes.  
Yeah. OK, great. Thank you very Neil.

**MR Michael Redlich** 4:25  
OK.

**NP Neil Patterson** 4:28  
Yeah.

**MR Michael Redlich** 4:28  
No, but this is great. Thank you. Yeah, we just so.

**NP Neil Patterson** 4:30  
But thank you.

**MR Michael Redlich** 4:35

That what I was gonna say was, you know, I was just talking to Neil about this one week before we started, and this was from our Jakarta EE ambassadors meeting that we had yesterday.

**NP** **Neil Patterson** 4:47

What I think one of the things I would like to do, and I'm kind of looking for confirmation that you you feel it's a good idea, Tanya shared with me.

Several of the mailing lists, including the ambassador's mailing list, I'd to be able to send a a note out to all of them, inviting them to participate in basically talking about the process that process.

And we have.

Added the.

This meeting to the Jakarta EE calendar.

So which is a Google Calendar? So if you're, if you're participating in in any jaccardi related things, you'll probably see this show up on the on on the calendar.

But like to be able to again broadcast as wide as possible, you know our.

Our desire to have people participate so.

So thoughts? You know, you think reaching out to all the groups would be a good idea.

I don't think anybody be upset if they got an e-mail the, you know, requesting participation in future directions so.

**A** **Abraham (Guest)** 6:12

I think that's good. I can send an e-mail to the job leaders for instance.

**MR** **Michael Redlich** 6:17

Stop. No. Yep. Yep, Yep.

**NP** **Neil Patterson** 6:17

Oh, that'd that'd be great too, yeah.

**A** **Abraham (Guest)** 6:19

Yeah.

**NP** **Neil Patterson** 6:22

OK.

So. So I'll go ahead and do that.

And.

There was something else I wanted to cover.

T

**Tanja (Guest)** 6:35

They will actually put in the in the chat the Google Calendar link just.

NP

**Neil Patterson** 6:41

Oh great.

T

**Tanja (Guest)** 6:44

Yeah. So here's the the Google Calendar link it's it's it's a, it's the community calendar. I think that's where most of the, you know, community related.

Invites are.

Placed.

Of course we have about 5 different jaccarde calendars. This is just for the community.

NP

**Neil Patterson** 7:07

Yeah.

T

**Tanja (Guest)** 7:08

And and and external things. But yes, as Neil was saying, if you have any other ideas, I do have couple of individuals who own various.

Conferences expressed interest in something like that, so I will be more than happy to send them various links to related to the interest group as well.

NP

**Neil Patterson** 7:35

Just as a point of interest.

We're. I've requested a a GitHub repo.

So that we can start to track.

The ideas that we want to put in place for coming out of the the the interest group I I felt that I mean many interest groups to use a Git lab environment.

I.

I'm more currently I'm more comfortable in GitHub.

And and I know the the working group.

Uses Git GitHub for, you know, all of the submissions around the releases of jaccardi and so on, so so that that process to get the GitHub repo in place is progressing. I

have. Have you seen any update Tanya on?

From Sharon I I didn't notice one today but.

T

**Tanja (Guest)** 8:38

No, I I I I didn't have any updates since yesterday.

NP

**Neil Patterson** 8:41

OK, so hopefully by by our next meeting, which I think I'm gonna actually postpone.

I'll. I'll. I'll send a note out about it next. Meeting falls on us Thanksgiving. It's probably not the best time to have a call, so.

Heads up, we'll probably skip that meeting, but by the time we do have it, our next meeting, I look forward to having a GitHub repo that we can start to kind of organise our ideas.

MR

**Michael Redlich** 9:03

Yeah.

N.

NP

**Neil Patterson** 9:12

And put together, you know sort of a a plan on how to participate and then we can start to look at prioritising the ideas. Hopefully you've all had a chance to look at the.

The initial set of ideas that were floated in in a Google document.

Have you seen that?

If not, I can pull it up.

A

**Abraham (Guest)** 9:46

Rings a bell, but it was a while ago, wasn't it?

NP

**Neil Patterson** 9:49

Yeah, it was. Let me see if I can pull that up.

Go with me for just one second.

Right here we are.

Let's move that into.

OK so.

So we had a, you know, different topics, topic areas.

Everything ranging from you know, how do we relate to quantum in AI through, you know? Is there a place in robotics to?

Green Green platform, you know, technologies.

Down into specific ideas around specifications.

That that, that either.

It could be updated.

Or added.

And then finally into, you know, marketing ideas, things that we could do to improve the, the awareness and the participation and then things like having an incubator, translation ideas, different partnerships that we could possibly explore as a jaccardi community and and then.

The spring analysis, you know comparing frameworks and that kind of thing.

So my intent is to actually take this when we have to get GitHub repo and and move it into the repo so we can make some decisions in a in a uniform way around these ideas and then extend the ones that we think are worth pursuing. And but we'll at least have everything captured in the the the same.

Format going forward.

Any questions on that?

OK.

**MR** **Michael Redlich** 12:04

That there was. I just wanted to mention there was a pretty decent discussion about EJB on the platform devs mailing list. I don't know if anybody's caught that there might be some good Nuggets to, you know, to add to the to the list here.

**NP** **Neil Patterson** 12:19

Yeah, yeah, I saw that. Yeah.

Yeah. And it's definitely a hot topic for sure.

**MR** **Michael Redlich** 12:27

Yeah.

**NP** **Neil Patterson** 12:30

So what do you think we could do to kinda provide it additional input? You know, we can gather our thoughts, put them into a an issue here and and then.

The intent is that we the ideas that we prioritise and and feel are ready to be.

Socialised with the broader Jaccardi working group, we will.

Capture and submit to.

Either platform, steering or marketing or spec committee, you know, depending on you know what the what the particular issue is.

No, no, no illusions about.

Having any priority or anything with with those groups, but.

The idea with this interest group is to start to think outside the box. Think longer term.

About the direction that we want Jakarta you to go in and provide our input to them as.

As.

For consideration in planning.

And their planning cycles are typically shorter so.

Our our goal is to be longer term thinking and outside the box.

OK.

Without that repo in place.

I don't think there's a whole lot of activity that we can do today, but I'd like to open it up to.

Any questions? Any comments you might have?

**A** **Abraham (Guest)** 14:19

I have a question about. So I remember in the document we mentioned a few areas that we could explore and they sound all very interesting.

How how's going to be the problem of actually exploring? Are we going to be talking to industry representatives to see what they think about each of those areas or are we going to talk to implementers to see whether they would agree to a standard? So what's going to be the next step?

**NP** **Neil Patterson** 14:46

Great, great question. I I think those are definitely a couple of options on the table



for how we pursue it. I think we capture the initial idea and then I I anticipate having somebody who is effectively taking ownership, they may not do the work, but they they at least can coordinate getting other people involved.

And and then.

I think we have some resources.

Within our individual teams and you know as individuals contacts and so on, I think we can determine how we socialise the ideas.

You know, on an individual basis?

I I don't. You know we we haven't any got any budget for reaching out to analysts or anything like that but certainly that.

The the idea of.

Looking doing the research around.

The you know, possible analyst reports and all that kind of.

External information and then coalescing that into the the issue.

I think that'll be part of the responsibility of the.

The owner of the issue, you know, we'll we'll hopefully have people signing up to take ownership of.

Each of the issues going in that that we're prioritising and then they can work with others, you know, get a team together to.

Divide and conquer the the research.

**T Tanja (Guest)** 16:40

But also if I may add.

If you don't mind me.

**NP Neil Patterson** 16:44

Oh please.

**T Tanja (Guest)** 16:46

So what I think Abraham was mainly focusing on is let's say if we decide to tackle from the technical perspective EJBS or AI, let let let's say it doesn't matter what exactly are the high level steps. So as as we are the interest group, we really ought to. Give our strong recommendations to the Jakarta Working Group and.

To be more specific.

Probably steering committee and therefore later on the platform team.

Who will then take that recommendation and discuss how to technically actually implement and how that fits? Whether it's one of the profiles, it's a platform or or it's standalone specification that needs to be created like we.

We really need to be collaborating with technical teams that will actually do it and for the time being, those technical teams from the Jakarta EE perspective are directly tied into Djokarte working group. That's part of the reason also why this interest group is the Jakarta E future directions so.

Even through the name, we're clearly indicating that it is very much related to the Jakarta E Working group.

But currently that working group is focusing on current or the immediate release where this body wants to be even bigger and explore what are the industry friends and therefore.

A dream about the direction that the Ducati working group should really look into and engage with their technical team.

That doesn't mean just to add that if we start a true deep technical discussion, we will not involve someone from the technical team to take part in the particular issue or a particular topic that is being discussed here at the interest group. But I just want to draw obvious collaboration between.

The working group and the interest group, if that helps at all.

Abraham.

**A Abraham (Guest)** 19:24

Yeah, I think it does. I think it definitely does. I was wondering.

To assess which of the initiatives is worth tackling, first, would it be worth conceiving like a a survey that we do to the programming community, for instance, and say which one of these topics do you think would help you the most in your work? And then maybe that way we can see which of these topics it's worth bringing to the working group first.

**T Tanja (Guest)** 19:52

We can. We can do whatever. Like that's that's absolutely for Neil and this group to to decide how to approach it. But yeah, that's absolutely whether it's a it's a survey or even though a small polls on on on X platform or other platforms like I don't want to tie to Jacks because different cultures are using different platforms.

**A Abraham (Guest)** 20:15  
Mm hmm.

**T Tanja (Guest)** 20:16  
So you know, whatever you think.  
Is the best approach. You as a group decide? I guess that's what's going to go.

**NP Neil Patterson** 20:27  
The and I like that idea. I think we can.  
Make good use of, you know, outreach to different communities, poles and that kind of thing.  
But I I think in order to have to.  
Have an impact with the.  
The appropriate committees, whether it's specs or.  
Or marketing or or whatever.  
We I, I I think our team the the interest group needs to be able to.  
Provide enough data, enough information.  
To.  
Make it compelling.  
That you know it won't. It will get.  
The weight, the consideration, the weighted consideration that it it, it should have for each of our prioritised ideas. So there is some research and stuff that that before it we kind of involved other groups that it'll be important for us to.  
To do.  
OK, I see we are pretty close to time. I will.  
Send out an update.  
And invite others that for the conversation earlier. And I appreciate you guys showing up today and we'll.  
Move forward.

**MR Michael Redlich** 22:06  
Awesome.

**A** **Abraham (Guest)** 22:07  
Sir, looking forward to it.

**MR** **Michael Redlich** 22:09  
Yep, Yep. Me too. So all right folks.

**NP** **Neil Patterson** 22:09  
Thank you.

**A** **Abraham (Guest)** 22:11  
Thank you.

**T** **Tanja (Guest)** 22:12  
Thinking you don't think everyone bye.

**MR** **Michael Redlich** 22:14  
OK, bye.

**NP** **Neil Patterson** 22:14  
Take care.

● **Neil Patterson** stopped transcription