

## Keynotes: How would you rate the keynotes you attended?

|  | Excellent            | Very good            | Good                 | Poor          | Very poor    | Did not attend | Rating Average | Response Count |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Tuesday keynote "What Is Watson?"        | <b>43.1%</b><br>(56) | 29.2%<br>(38)        | 13.8%<br>(18)        | 2.3%<br>(3)   | 0.8%<br>(1)  | 10.8%<br>(14)  | 2.21           | 130            |
| Wednesday keynote "The Java Renaissance" | 12.2%<br>(16)        | 19.1%<br>(25)        | <b>33.6%</b><br>(44) | 18.3%<br>(24) | 8.4%<br>(11) | 8.4%<br>(11)   | 3.17           | 131            |
| Thursday keynote "On Apache Hadoop"      | 22.9%<br>(30)        | <b>27.5%</b><br>(36) | 26.0%<br>(34)        | 3.8%<br>(5)   | 0.0%<br>(0)  | 19.8%<br>(26)  | 2.90           | 131            |

Keynote comments:

47

|                          |            |
|--------------------------|------------|
| <b>answered question</b> | <b>133</b> |
| <b>skipped question</b>  | <b>6</b>   |

## Page 1, Q8. Keynotes: How would you rate the keynotes you attended?

|   |  |                       |
|---|--|-----------------------|
| 1 | I liked the Java Renaissance the best.   | Apr 14, 2011 5:50 PM  |
| 2 | I think some keynotes were longer than they should be and were too technical at times.   | Apr 14, 2011 12:14 PM |
| 3 | -  | Apr 14, 2011 1:20 AM  |
| 4 | Watson was very interesting, but it didn't really seem to bear on Eclipse/OSGi. Both the Java talk and Hadoop talk had great content and were REALLY relevant.   | Apr 11, 2011 3:42 PM  |
| 5 | As french people, I can not really appreciate keynotes, even if they are interesting... May be, subtitles in french would be appreciated... Technical english is usually ok, but keynote's english, is more difficult for non fluent english people... | Apr 7, 2011 4:24 PM   |
| 6 | Wednesday's was great, wasn't interested in attending the others. Perhaps more Eclipse-focused talks would be useful.  | Apr 6, 2011 5:10 PM   |
| 7 | The keynotes were interesting, but they weren't very relevant to Eclipse   | Apr 6, 2011 4:56 PM   |
| 8 | Not a whole lot of new or useful information at the Java talk.   | Apr 6, 2011 3:08 PM   |

**Page 1, Q8. Keynotes: How would you rate the keynotes you attended?**

|    |  |                      |
|----|--|----------------------|
| 9  | - The keynote on Watson was both interesting and fun. Not rated excellent because I didn't "gain" anything from the keynote, but it was still a nice moment and fit well with the EclipseCon. - The Java Renaissance was not bad but not good either. The Oracle vs IBM recurring joke was a bit too much, we did not learn anything new (for those who have been following the evolution of Java), the interesting bits about OSGi and Java were too short (and not what we could have hoped for). The same actual contents would have fit a standard 20 minutes talk. The Java panel was only marginally better. | Apr 6, 2011 11:46 AM |
| 10 | Good to get everyone together in the same auditorium, fosters esprit de corps.   | Apr 6, 2011 3:53 AM  |
| 11 | I liked the Watson talk. "The Java Renaissance" was the worst keynote I've ever seen: Boring, poorly prepared, marketing centric, speakers tried to be funny but weren't.  | Apr 6, 2011 3:10 AM  |
| 12 | I very, very much enjoyed "What Is Watson?". It was a fascinating look at a very relevant technology, even if it's not something we get to have our hands on as Eclipse developers. The Java Renaissance talk was only so-so. The two gentlemen on stage clearly had a very tenuous alliance to give the presentation together. I would have preferred less "my company is great" and more down-to-earth what's-going-on. Apache Hadoop didn't seem like a very interesting keynote at the outset, but I rather enjoyed the presentation and found it very interesting.  | Apr 5, 2011 3:41 PM  |
| 13 | - Watson keynote was great, but could have used a bit more technical details. - Java keynote wasn't technical enough and didn't really teach me anything new. - Hadoop keynote presenter was excellent. Good balance of technical details.   | Apr 5, 2011 8:38 AM  |
| 14 | A nice combination of cool and informative   | Apr 5, 2011 7:14 AM  |
| 15 | The emcee for the Tuesday and Wednesday keynotes was not particularly polished.  | Apr 5, 2011 7:05 AM  |
| 16 | Looking forward to the next ones   | Apr 5, 2011 7:04 AM  |
| 17 | I know it is not fair to compare anything to last year's splendid NASA/JPL-talk, but this year's was pretty bland. Competent, but meh.   | Apr 5, 2011 5:43 AM  |
| 18 | The Java renaissance felt like a repeat of last year's keynote, and frankly was little more than a regular technical talk "what's coming in Java 7". The Watson and Hadoop keynotes were excellent, though.  | Apr 5, 2011 2:15 AM  |
| 19 | best keynote (hadoop) was on a topic which is not directly related to eclipse or OSGi. For me, this shows that the most inspiration is coming from things I normally don't deal with.  | Apr 5, 2011 1:44 AM  |
| 20 | From my perspective the Java keynote was pretty much content free / nothing new there  | Apr 5, 2011 12:51 AM |
| 21 | I really miss the more provocative and open-minded keynotes like Uncle Bob had last year, where we can laugh and understand something important at the same time. I think it is important to start off a tough conference-day with a smile on your mouth - which I didn't this year.   | Apr 4, 2011 11:33 PM |
| 22 | - Watson keynote was hard to follow as a non-native speaker - Java keynote had too much focus on political agreement between Oracle and IBM, I missed Apple  | Apr 4, 2011 3:28 PM  |

**Page 1, Q8. Keynotes: How would you rate the keynotes you attended?**

|    |   |                      |
|----|---|----------------------|
|    | on this stage - The Hadoop talk was a brilliant technical talk but it missed to showcase a killer app   |                      |
| 23 | The "Java Renaissance" keynote should have been a sponsored short talk.   | Apr 4, 2011 3:24 PM  |
| 24 | Top notch.  | Apr 4, 2011 2:39 PM  |
| 25 | "The Java Renaissance" could have used more technical details and a bit faster pace.  | Apr 4, 2011 2:03 PM  |
| 26 | They were no Jeff Norris, but ...   | Apr 4, 2011 1:54 PM  |
| 27 | I was slightly disappointed because the keynotes were very technical instead of visionary.  | Apr 4, 2011 1:54 PM  |
| 28 | see above   | Apr 4, 2011 3:27 AM  |
| 29 | Apache Hadoop was one of the best talks I have attended / watched over the last years: it was entertaining and informative.   | Apr 2, 2011 5:17 PM  |
| 30 | Although the keynotes were interesting, they were not as interesting as Jeff Norris (EclipseCon 2010 and Eclipse Summit 2010) or "Big Dave" (Summit 2009?) My primary "requirement" for a keynote is that it should provoke me somehow to do things differently or better. There wasn't that much Waouw effect this year AFAIS...                                   | Apr 1, 2011 1:47 AM  |
| 31 | no more keynotes like this oracle/ibm thing please. It was a waste of time.   | Apr 1, 2011 1:18 AM  |
| 32 | I found the Java keynote... Meh... maybe I was expecting more. The other two where great!   | Mar 31, 2011 9:02 PM |
| 33 | Hadoop was more like a talk and less like a keynote.  | Mar 31, 2011 8:32 PM |
| 34 | Watson was lots of fun. I felt the Java renaissance was felt awkward. Though the information was very important. I felt IBM and Oracle tried TOO hard to sound as though they were together and in the end just sounded further apart. Also, what's with Java Modularity? Isn't OSGI good enough?   | Mar 31, 2011 6:36 PM |
| 35 | The keynote speakers were well prepared and did a good job. However, none of them really dealt with Eclipse issues. The Watson talk mentioned that Eclipse was used...but didn't say how. It would seem appropriate that Keynotes at EclipseCon should be forced to at least include a portion of the talk that directly relates to Eclipse.                        | Mar 31, 2011 5:49 PM |
| 36 | The Java one was important, but the guys were a little awkward on stage. By Thursday, I'm too wiped to attend keynotes, but I blame Ralph this year :).   | Mar 31, 2011 3:55 PM |
| 37 | Watson keynote was entertaining with just enough technical content to keep me interested for the full hour. Wednesday's keynote, was in a word, disappointing. I didn't feel as if I heard anything that hasn't been mentioned already, either in the press or on a blog somewhere, and there was too much jabbing between the two presenters about j9 vs. hotspot. | Mar 30, 2011 2:09 PM |
| 38 | Please try to get more "non technical" keynotes.  | Mar 30, 2011 1:42 PM |
| 39 | For me I expected a lot more from Wednesday and Thursday's keynotes.  | Mar 30, 2011 7:10 AM |

**Page 1, Q8. Keynotes: How would you rate the keynotes you attended?**

|    |   |                       |
|----|---|-----------------------|
| 40 | Nothing new in The Java Renaissance presentation. Wasn't sure of the value in having IBM and Oracle present.  | Mar 29, 2011 9:59 AM  |
| 41 | Extremely disappointed that there was no live demo of Watson. A Skype video hookup would have been sufficient. Even an audio connection would have been fun. The ability to ask Watson live questions would have been great. Just hearing the same information already provided in the Nova was not unique or special.  | Mar 26, 2011 10:32 PM |
| 42 | The keynotes were enjoyable but not very "keynote-y". They were mostly reporting status rather than painting vision or inspiring. They did not make you think (or rethink)  | Mar 26, 2011 7:25 PM  |
| 43 | The Oracle/IBM banter was 'awkward' and honestly didn't offer much value to me.   | Mar 26, 2011 6:15 PM  |
| 44 | "The Java Renaissance" talk was cringe-worthy at points. Then tension between IBM and Oracle was a little too real and got old quick. The IBM presenter came off as very arrogant. As far as content, the Java Renaissance talk was good. Could have used more 'how IBM and Oracle' future paths will differ.   | Mar 26, 2011 10:58 AM |
| 45 | Very enjoyable across the board. Hadoop gives me a headache, but he did a nice job keeping it consumable.   | Mar 26, 2011 10:57 AM |
| 46 | - this year, no key note was really outstanding like some in other years (joel spolsky, scott adams or bob martin) - at least two of the keynotes where not really related to the Eclipse community but rather keynotes that could be given at any conference like devoxx or jazoon aswell  | Mar 25, 2011 8:22 PM  |
| 47 | The Java renaissance keynote was (1) badly prepared; (2) hard to follow as the speakers mumbled; (3) defensive. The feeling I got out of it is that Java is like Cobol, and that we use it because we have to, but not since it is such a nice language. The least inspirational keynote I've ever heard. There's a bug in your survey monkey forbidding giving two 'excellents', otherwise Hadoop would have been excellent too. | Mar 25, 2011 6:26 AM  |