Eclipse Members Survey on Certification.

The following are the results of the survey of the Members concerning Software Certification.

Software Certification for the purposes of this survey is defined as:

A process of issuing a certificate to indicate conformance with a standard or a set of guidelines. The certification specifies that the software works according to specification.

The certification is usually required for legal, regulatory, quality, or integration reasons. The actual certification can be self certification or carried out by a third party. The scope varies and can cover tools, methods, systems, products and processes.

(O01) IC C		14 1 4F P 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1		
(Q01) If a software c participating in it?	ertification progran	n existed at Eclipse, would your organization be interested in		
(21 Yes)	(9 No)	(3 No opinion)		
	you support:	(e 1 to opinion)		
		eation program for all Eclipse applications?		
	(8 N)			
(Q01.2) Separate certification of RCP applications?				
,	,	No) (6 No opinion)		
		fication of Embedded Applications?		
,	Yes) (5 N)			
	, L	fication of Linux Applications? No) (4 No opinion)		
		No) (4 No opinion) (fication for applications leveraging each top-level Project?		
) Yes)	(7 No) (3 No opinion)		
,	,	frequency: How often would you anticipate certification program		
(4)		vide revisions?		
		nce a year)		
		nore than once a year)		
		less frequently than once a year)		
		ion to create or enable a software certification program?		
(16 Yes)	(10 No)	(6 No opinion)		
(O03) If an Eclipse C	Certification Progra	m was created, would your organization feel compelled to		
participate in it?		·· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(20 Yes)	(8 No)	(3 No opinion)		
		pportive of Eclipse enabling a self certification program where		
		approved questions which a participating software development		
organization would c	_			
(22 Yes)	(8 No)	(2 No opinion)		
(O05) Do you and yo	our organization sur	pport an "API Certification" program where the developer of the		
software specify that				
(14 Yes)	(11 No)	(7 No opinion)		
(1. 100)	(11110)	(* 1 to opinion)		
	our organization val	lue publicly available test suites which can be used in certification		
programs?				
(23 Yes)	(5 No)	(4 No opinion)		
(007) Are very willing	a to may for third m	anty Cantification?		
(Q07) Are you willin				
(10 Yes)	(17 No)	(5 No opinion)		
(O08) Are you and yo	our organization su	apportive of Eclipse enabling a third party software certification		
program?	<i>5</i>			

(13 Yes)	(15 No)	(5 No opinion)		
(Q09) Would you and your organization participate in an Eclipse enabled third party software certification program?				
(12 Yes)	(16 No)	(4 No opinion)		
specifically the runtime, S run standalone with no pr	SWT, JFace, and Corequisites of othe	ised to identify a product that includes the core Eclipse Platform, deneric Workbench components. This type of product is able to r Eclipse components. Do you support certification of platforms evelopers a consistent base? (3 No opinion)		
(Q11) The "Eclipse Ready" trademark and logo is used to identify a product that is packaged as an Eclipse feature or plug-in and must be added to an Eclipse platform product. This type of product does not run standalone. Do you support certification of plugins that are "eclipse-ready" to ensure compatibility with a specific base?				
(25 Yes)	(4 No)	(3 No opinion)		
· - ·		s include within the scope a "comprehensive engineering certain process be followed to gain "certification"? (4 No opinion)		
(Q13) Do you currently p (19 Yes)	articipate in a soft (11 No)	ware certification program? (3 No opinion)		
Please mark as true or false. (Q 14) Current software certification programs are too strict. (4 True) (11 False) (14 No opinion) (Q 15) Current software certification programs have negative impacts such as large expenditures of				
resources, or generate unh (17 True)	nelpful documents. (6 False)	(7 No opinion)		
(Q 16) Current software of				
(9 True)	(8 False)	(13 No opinion)		
(16 True)	(8 False)	rtification programs has not been proven. (8 No opinion)		
(Q 18) This survey has been" (22 Valuable use of your time) (0 Waste of your time) (9 no opinion)				
General comments:				
Thank you very much. Skip				