

July 12, 2022

Agenda Topics	Moderator	Minutes
Approve Minutes of May 31, 2022 Meeting	Mark	5
Build resource packs - oomph, etc. 1. Member Organization Quota 2. Member Project Allocations	Pradeep	10
Raise a steering committee issue for this website issue. Website Eclipseide.org purpose and structure moving forwards? Output: Creation of issues which outline our requests for web team <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Jakarta® EE Cloud Native Enterprise Java Java EE the Eclipse Foundation The Eclipse Foundation• Eclipse IDE Working Group The Eclipse Foundation Twitter feedback.	Mark	15
EclipseCon - Community Day for the Eclipse IDE WG <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Steering committee members attending EclipseCon?• F2F Steering Committee meeting? Community Day Outline: - Number of people expected: ~25 - Length: One half-day session <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Create an agenda page for Community Day• Organizer of Community Day meeting - Gerald	All	10
Update on IDE WG Development Efforts Initiative GitLab Board and (Weekly Minutes)	Sharon	5
Update on Engagement Discussion (More commits, contributions, sponsors, members) See Epic here https://gitlab.eclipse.org/eclipse-wg/ide-wg/eclipseide.org/-/issues/26	All	5

Agenda Topics	Moderator	Minutes
Eclipse IDE vision - stimulate and motivate the community with something? Discuss at EclipseCon F2F.		
Recheck 2022 Program plan against objectives Review from last meeting Partially done last meeting. Discuss gaps from last time. Tangible actions? (Webinars, Inactive projects) Volunteer for a Webinar - TechTalk - how regular?	Mark	10
Topics for Next Meeting Paul: Sponsorship	All	5

Minute Taking Roster

If you cannot attend the meeting, please arrange for your alternate to take over.

Pradeep Balachandran - Responsible for Minutes for this meeting

- Jonah
- Martin
- Pradeep
- Manuel
- Johannes
- Imran
- Sebastian

Attendees

Steering Committee Members:

Number of Seats 9 / Quorum is 50% of Committee / Passing a resolution is > 50%

- **Pradeep Balachandran (IBM) / Gerald Mitchell (IBM)**
- **Sebastian Ratz (SAP) / Yannic Soethoff (SAP)**
- Manuel Bork (Yatta) / **Frederic Ebelshäuser (Yatta)**
- Jonah Graham (Planning Council Chair)
- **Mark Goodchild (Renesas)**
- Abdul Rahiman Imran (Bosch)

- **Johannes Matheis (Vector)**
- Martin Lippert (VMware - Participant Representative)

Supporter Members:

- Remi Schnekenburger (EclipseSource)

Other Attendees:

- Paul Buck (Eclipse Foundation)
- Sharon Corbett (Eclipse Foundation)
- **Ed Merks (Eclipse Foundation, Sim Rel Engineer)**
- Mélanie Bats (Planning Council Observer)
- Shanda Giacomoni (Eclipse Foundation)
- Andrey Loskutov

Minutes

Approve Minutes of May 31, 2022 Meeting

Motion: Approve Minutes of May 31st 2022. Abc moves and xyz seconds. No objection to unanimous approval.

RESOLVED, the Steering Committee unanimously approved the Minutes of May 31st 2022 on July 12th, 2022.

Build resource packs - oomph, etc.

- Pradeep gave an overview on the build resource packs, to raise people's awareness to this topic:
- [Member Organization Quota](#)
 - Every eclipse member project gets a set of resource packs
 - Any resource pack can be assigned to a project
 - Dedicated agents are for running tests for special purposes e.g. for native platform (like Linux or Mac OS)
- A second sheet shows the sponsored projects:
 - [Member Project Allocations](#)

We saw that the sheets are not up to date. Ed took the action and asks Mikael B. to update the sheets.

Sebastian offers some resource packs for platform project or other projects if it is necessary.

Marks wants to know how long a resource pack is allocated to a project. Ed argues that people rely on the resource packs. Pradeep adds, it can be moved by eclipse organization in 2 or 3 days, so resource packs can be moved from projects when they are not used.

Here is link to an issue that shows how the process of assigning a resource pack can be triggered: <https://gitlab.eclipse.org/eclipsefdn/helpdesk/-/issues/1434>

Raise a steering committee issue for this website issue.

Mark raises the question of what the eclipseide.org web page should look like and he showed a feedback on twitter ([Twitter](#)).

Pradeep: Main page should be the Eclipse IDE not the working group. The information about the Eclipse IDE WG can be shown on the side.

Ed supports this opinion and he mentioned an example the Eclipse Adoptium Project.

Mark shows the web page of Eclipse IDE (eclipseide.org). It was decided the content of the two pages should be swapped.

Mark will open an issue on webside project to swip sites – show Eclipse IDE instead of working group web page as main page.

EclipseCon - Community Day for the Eclipse IDE WG

We plan to organize an F2F-meeting of the steering group at Eclipse Con

For the community day Gerald volunteers to organize this day. Ed volunteers for support on the community day.

Update on Engagement Discussion (More commits, contributions, sponsors, members) See Epic [here](#)

Mark suggested discussing this topic F2F on Eclipse Con. Ed and Johannes agreed on this.

Recheck 2022 Program plan against objectives

Mark brings up the idea of webinars for showcases in a way of tech talks. Show the people how to do it. Pradeep mentioned a regular tick could help us, a basis of 3 month aligned with the IDE release activity. If we have more topics to cover, we can go for 6 weeks. This can be an

additional offer to Holgers youtube videos. Sebastian said we need at least a list with 3 topics so that we do not run out of topics. Pradeep and Gerald brought up some ideas like additional Java topics or success stories from migration projects. Pradeep took the action to create such a list on a page on gitlab and link it to our public engagement epic. He will also discuss some possible topics with his team.

We had a short side discussion that we notice less issues in github than in Bugzilla after the migration. People might have difficulties creating an account. This could also be a topic of a webinar.

Disruptive activity in platform:

Ed informs that there is a disruptive activity in the platform going on, in moving bundles to maven, that affects the downstream projects. Pradeep suggested pushing everybody to Maven Central. Ed already tries to steer everyone to Maven.

Topics for next meeting

- Do we want to join the Github sponsorship pilot program (@see mail Paul Buck on Eclipse IDE WG Steering Committee mailing list)