

August 23, 2022

Agenda Topics	Moderator	Minutes
Approve Minutes of July 26, 2022 Meeting	Mark	5
<p>Discussion on the Eclipse IDE Working Group The Eclipse Foundation content.</p> <p>Feedback discussion started: Change eclipseide.org homepage to be more IDE platform focused (#30) · Issues · Eclipse Foundation / IT / Websites / eclipseide.org · GitLab</p> <p>Resources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Jakarta® EE Cloud Native Enterprise Java Java EE the Eclipse Foundation The Eclipse Foundation• Eclipse IDE Working Group The Eclipse Foundation <p>Twitter feedback.</p>	Mark	10
<p>Steering Committee meeting during EclipseCon - Planned from last meeting. Tuesday - 11:00AM</p> <p>Length - at least 45 minutes</p>	Mark	5
<p>EclipseCon - Community Day for the Eclipse IDE WG</p> <p>Community Day Outline:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Number of people expected: ~25- Length: One half-day session• How long is the room booking? <i>3.5 hours - 24Oct@14:00CET</i>• Create an agenda page for Community Day - <i>Gerald working on it</i> -• Organizer of Community Day meeting - <i>Gerald</i>• Any help required? Assistance?	Gerald	10

Agenda Topics	Moderator	Minutes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BOF proposal? Update from Gerald. - https://wiki.eclipse.org/EclipseCon2022_CommunityDay_EclipseIDEWorkingGroup		
Update on IDE WG Development Efforts Initiative GitLab Board and (Weekly Minutes) Reviewers? Publicize at EclipseCon? Incentive? PR in scope.	Sharon	5
Webinars Volunteer for a Webinar - TechTalk - how regular? Pradeep: Wiki page to share?	Pradeep	10
Github sponsorship Need representatives from WG to work with. (collaborators) Which projects to put a sponsor button on? All or some? Draft proposal and short resolution for GitHub sponsors.	Paul	5
Topics for Next Meeting Provide a way to opt out of the behavior introduced by issue 898, i.e., don't include imports in the p2 repository · Issue #1281 · eclipse-tycho/tycho · GitHub	All	5

Minute Taking Roster

If you cannot attend the meeting, please arrange for your alternate to take over.

Sebastian - Responsible for Minutes for this meeting

- ~~Martin~~
- Imran
- ~~Sebastian~~
- Jonah
- Pradeep

- Manuel
- Johannes

Attendees

Steering Committee Members:

Number of Seats 9 / Quorum is 50% of Committee / Passing a resolution is > 50%

- **Pradeep Balachandran (IBM)** / Gerald Mitchell (IBM)
- **Sebastian Ratz (SAP)** / Yannic Soethoff (SAP)
- Manuel Bork (Yatta) / **Frederic Ebelshäuser (Yatta)**
- Jonah Graham (Planning Council Chair)
- **Mark Goodchild (Renesas)**
- Abdul Rahiman Imran (Bosch)
- Johannes Matheis (Vector)
- **Martin Lippert** (VMware - Participant Representative)

Supporter Members:

- Remi Schnekenburger (EclipseSource)

Other Attendees:

- **Paul Buck (Eclipse Foundation) (Arrived at 09:04am)**
- **Sharon Corbett (Eclipse Foundation) (Arrived at 09:03am)**
- Ed Merks (Eclipse Foundation, Sim Rel Engineer)
- Mélanie Bats (Planning Council Observer)
- Shanda Giacomoni (Eclipse Foundation)
- Andrey Loskutov

Minutes

Start

Ed notices there hasn't been an elected committer rep in the meeting for some time.

Approve Minutes of July 26, 2022 Meeting

Motion: Approve Minutes of July 26, 2022. Martin moves and Frederic seconds. No objection to unanimous approval.

RESOLVED, the Steering Committee unanimously approved the Minutes of Jul 26th, 2022 on August 23rd, 2022.

Discussion on the [Eclipse IDE Working Group | The Eclipse Foundation](#) content.

Mark: Mentioned that eclipse webpage is live now. Some minor cosmetic issues remain.

Frederic: How is it backlinked from eclipse.org page? How should it be like? Lots of clicks are necessary to navigate between the two websites. Unclear, which one of the pages is the 'leading' one. There is also eclipse.org/ide.

Consensus: eclipse.org/ide should at least get a link to eclipseide.org.

Sebastian: Long-term goal by foundation: Move everything IDE related to eclipseide.org. Just the first steps were taken now.

Mark will create a new Gitlab issue on the matter.

Steering Committee meeting during EclipseCon - Planned from last meeting.

Mark:

- There will be a meeting room for an on-site meeting with limited sharing/video capacity.
- Not sure when exactly, most likely Tuesday 11:00.
- Double-check with Sharon

EclipseCon - Community Day for the Eclipse IDE WG

Gerald presents community day agenda wiki page

https://wiki.eclipse.org/EclipseCon2022_CommunityDay_EclipseIDEWorkingGroup

Gerald: Not sure if on-site, if not, will find substitute for moderation

Putting names on the topics:

- Some of them should be done by the planning council
- Some topics such as 'Whats new' could be covered by anyone
- Main inhibitor: Finding people who can travel and be on-site

Pradeep: Community day is Platform 90min + IDE WG

Mark suggests changing the order of topics to keep the two parts separate.

-> Agreed by all.

Pradeep: Qualify 'Eclipse' (overloaded term)

Pradeep: Technology Showcases is a useful slot for projects to present themselves.

Gerald will take care of revising the schedule

Gerald is happy to reach out to speaker candidates.

Mark is on-site, can take over introduction and 'program plan update'

Planning Council Update should be done by Jonah.

Common issue: Travel arrangements are not finalized.

- Ed: Mikael / Alex will not be there.
- Pradeep: IBM will most likely have 3 people on-site. Will talk to IBM platform team regarding 'what's new' agenda items.
- Ed probably not available on Monday. Need alternative for release engineering agenda item
- Jonah possible alternative, not sure if on-site

Mark suggests leaving it at that for now and waiting for a few weeks for things to settle.

Pradeep: Reiterate in 3 weeks (1 month before EclipseCon).

Everyone: -> Confirm on-site presence and think about who could do what until the next meeting.

Webinars

Pradeep: Will publish wiki next week with nomination for 2 additional talks.

Pradeep: Ideas for talks:

- Suggestion: 1-hour webinar in the week where Eclipse is released, 10 minutes per talk
- 2 Talks from IBM team fixed
 - Eclipse what's new
 - Technical topics e.g. gitHub migration / How to contribute using GitHub

- 2 additional talks on other topics
- Long-term goal: larger audience, more broad topics, generate interest
- Create mind-share in community that Eclipse is still active
- Showcases by working group members: Yatta?
- Should have more than 2 talks for the first webinar, not just IBM to support the community sentiment

Update on IDE WG Development Efforts Initiative [GitLab Board](#) and ([Weekly Minutes](#))

Martin:

- Discussion on planning council on spending remaining money
 - Difficulty in finding people, not finding the work / the money
 - Thoughts of Alexander :
 - Difficulty in platform: Existing contributions waiting to be reviewed, committers too busy, no time to review and work with community on contributions. Instead of paying someone to do work on code, maybe reach out so someone willing to work with the community to get contributions submitted (core review + get them in ready). E.g., someone familiar with the project

Mark: good idea, however such someone would have to be respected by the projects, otherwise we will just add another reviewer 'level'.

Gerald: Agreed, as we still need a committer review before submission

Gerald: What's the requirement for such reviewers? GitHub history?

Martin: Take it to the dev funding discussion with Jonah on what channels to use. Spread the word around, e.g. maybe even hijack Mike's introduction keynote with some incentive.

Mark: Is it easy to see what reviews are waiting in queue?

Pradeep: GitHub issues are limited (recent move). Many Gerrit changes were lying there that are now seemingly abandoned. Some old Gerrit changes were waiting for changes by the author.

Pradeep: Convey message on EclipseCon on what the expectation is and spread the word on social media.

Paul: Might attract more people to become committers.

Paul: Suggests bounty program with incentives (t-shirt, ...) for doing reviews, etc.?

Sharon: Yeah, especially when using social media.

-> Think about it and discuss it again next time.

[Github sponsorship](#)

Paul: Proposal: Work with 1-2 members of the IDE WG to work out a draft. 4 GitHub repos would be in scope.

Ed: Notices that 'platform' is always 'special'. Should eventually be possible for other projects as well: Ed volunteers to help.

Mark as well.

Paul will work out a draft together with Ed / Mark and pass it to everyone.

Other Topics

Ed: Tycho 2.7.4 changes how features handle <requires> and <import>. Causing EPP and other update sites to get bloated. The option to get old behavior back was rejected because old behavior was defined wrong.

Ed: Tycho is technology, should be able to fulfill many use-cases. Need option to turn this off to be able to consume newer versions of Tycho and not get stuck in the past.

Mark: Common pattern: Not enough concern about downstream consumers.

Ed: Impression that issues are not taken seriously. Example of how platform forces things.

Sebastian: Has worked before with developers of tycho, in general they are open for changes / input.

Sebastian will create a change as a proposal to introduce an option. Should not take too much time, familiar with Tycho build setup.

Mark suggests keeping the working group updated on the matter.