

2021-September-02

Agenda Topics	Moderator	Minutes
Approval of minutes from August 26, 2021 meeting	Tim	5
Informational Update on Temurin Release	Stewart	10
Informational Update on Draft Adoptium Marketplace Policy and Eclipse Foundation Quality Verification Suite License	Tim	10
Discuss provision of private MacOS M1 machines (USD\$129/month) for Temurin-compliance project	Stewart	10

Quorum:

(5/8) required for simple majority votes.

(6/8) required for super majority votes.

Attendees

Steering Committee Members

- Tim Ellison (Red Hat)
- Hendrik Ebberts (Karakun)
- Gil Tene (Azul)
- Sanhong Li (Alibaba Cloud)
- Stewart Addison (Committer rep)
- Rui Chen (Huawei)
- Samir Kamberkar (IBM) [From 15:10 BST]
- Martijn Verburg (Microsoft)

Other Attendees

- Jan Westerkamp (iJUG)
- Lan Xia (IBM)
- Shelley Lambert (Red Hat)

Eclipse Foundation

- Paul Buck
- Sharon Corbett

Minutes

Approval of minutes from August 26, 2021 meeting

- Minutes of the previous meeting were approved.

Informational Update on Temurin Release

- Final work on the release is being conducted on JDK 11 JREs for all platforms. JDKs for Solaris also underway.
- Hendrik discussed the naming of projects, vendors and terminology for the release and marketing. Hendrik has shared a document for initial discussion ([Common Message and Language](#)).
 - Plans to go into more specific detail about how these terms are used in practice (package names, vendor strings, etc) and will bring them to the meeting next week.
 - The SC expressed a wish to get this in place for the upcoming Java 17 work to avoid future churn.
 - Gil noted that the Eclipse Foundation is the legal entity behind the releases, and that the marketing usage e.g. Temurin by Adoptium may differ from the legal descriptions embedded in the code e.g. Temurin by Eclipse Foundation.
 - The proposed solution may also be checked with end users to check it meets with their expectations.

Informational Update on Draft Adoptium Marketplace Policy and Eclipse Foundation Quality Verification Suite License

- Both the marketplace policy and quality verification suite license are in draft form and ready for broad review. Expect the details to change and wording to be tightened up, but asking the steering committee to check this is the type of process they expect.
- Notice will be given when these are ready for a more formal review and people can engage further assistance on the wording.
- Mike noted that the quality license and publisher agreement will be passed by the Eclipse IP committee, so all companies represented on that Foundation committee will have a chance to review them.
- Discussion of how AQAvit releases require some “ceremony” to ensure each release is a noted and identified entity, and clarity on how each entity applies to the runtimes under test.
- Shelley explained that the AQAvit project is well underway with the branch/release process and how they apply to different Java versions etc. plus the criteria for success.
- Gil pointed out that the marketplace criteria for passing an AQAvit verification should reflect the lifecycle of the AQAvit suite, and that old verified runtimes should not lose their validity, but new verified runtimes at the same Java API level should.
- Proposed that the QVS releases are out of sync with the AQAvit releases, to ensure that QVS is stable and AQAvit is agile.
- Jan spoke to the Jakarta spec process for core and optional parts of the tests, and how this model would likely work for AQAvit too.

Discuss provision of private MacOS M1 machines (USD\$129/month) for Temurin-compliance project

- Java 17 will support MacOS M1, so the compliance project needs them under Eclipse control to support JCK work.
- Jan asked if these would be capital purchase or cloud-based leased machines. As these would be Eclipse-managed machines it would fall to EF to decide how they are acquired.

- Paul asked that the request for M1 machines is raised as an infrastructure Bugzilla.

AOB

- Shelley noted that Mikael does not have access to the Early Access version of the Java 17 TCK. The Eclipse Temurin-compliance project is unable to check that the EA TCK is working as expected without such access.
- Discussion about whether Eclipse should be a member of the Java Platform Expert Group in order to get access? That person should be a technically appropriate person representing the EF.