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“Isn't D-OSGi... just repeating the mistake of every other RPC-based system in the last 20 years? Discuss...”
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“Isn't D-OSGi... just repeating the mistake of every other RPC-based system in the last 20 years?

NO!*

*It's our job to make sure of this...and the job's not finished
D-OSGi requirements & assumptions

- Keep the current (OSGi) programming model where possible
- Abstraction from protocol, communication, data implementation
- Allow interop with non-OSGi systems in heterogeneous environments
- Allow clients running outside of OSGi to discover services
  - But use of org.osgi.service.discovery.Discovery is optional

- Bring service oriented programming model to distributed computing

- Much of the problems of distributed systems are already covered by the dynamic nature of OSGi services
  - ServiceException has new type “REMOTE”
Network Transparency

- Do you hide the network's aspects from the programmer?
  - Performance/Timing
  - Reliability/Partial Failure

- **Not** a good idea
  - A Note on Distributed Computing
  - Lots of failed attempts at doing so - See Neil's tweet

One conclusion: Eventually...programmers of distributed service clients **want to know** and **react** to what's happening
Enter: OSGi Services

Now have OSGi Service Registry/ServiceReference/ServiceRegistration

- The framework manages service registration/lookup/and cleanup
  
  • bundleContext.registerService(…)
  
  • bundleContext.getServiceReferences(…)
  
  • bundleContext.stop()

Makes Registration, Lookup, Clean-up work

RFC 119 provide transparent remote service registration, lookup, and clean-up
This is GOOD Transparency

- Makes it very easy for programmers to use - GOOD
- Providers (implementations) take care of the hard stuff (distribution, serialization, etc)
- Framework handles dynamic services already so network services are accomodated already
  - Caveat Emptor: Service programmers must make their bundles/services dynamic-aware
But there is also **Usage Transparency**

- Public MyResult foo(MyParameter);
- Clients will expect it to work when they call it
- It's going to fail with RuntimeException...or worse, **block**
- This will be much more frequent than local services
- What are clients to do?

**Usage Transparency**...**Still a problem for those that design service interface**
Transparent Usage (cont)

ECF

- Exposes IRemoteService via service property osgi.remote
- Gives proxy AND additional calling patterns to service consumer
- AsyncExec, Future, One-Way

RemoteServiceTracker

- Same Functionality as ServiceTracker
- IRemoteService rather than Object
So What are We Saying?

Separate (at bundle level) interface and implementation

Define your service interface 'carefully'
  - Can your clients depend upon your contract?
  - Complex objects...serialized? Pass By Reference?

Same local/remote service interface?

Think about clients (at runtime)
  How can/will they respond to failure, blocking, etc

Consider Being More Asynchronous

ECF IRemoteService ...or write your own
Discovery best practice (b.p.)
Discovery best practice for service consumers

NONE!*

Cannot run discovery synchronously upon \texttt{getServiceReferences()} as it violates the non-blocking nature of OSGi

* It's our job to make sure of this...and it's done :-)
Discovery best practice for service providers

NONE!*

However, what's with non 119 relevant service props?
...security, marshalling

* It's our job to make sure of this...and it's nearly done :-(
For service providers or consumer in non-OSGi based systems and deployers

- You ignore discovery entirely (just static configuration) :-)
- Find a way to Integrate with existing solutions
  - SLP, mDNS, DNS-SD, UDDI, JINI, … proprietary
- Chose a protocol that best fits your requirements
- Deal with all the protocol, network... details
  - Have network specialist/administrators on the team
- Do not trust service discovery events (unless you secured it)
RFC 119v2 outlook

- Different DSW in one runtime all handle a service registered event?
  - What is the discovery provider supposed to do? Only handle service publication for its matching DSW? For all?

- How to do authentication?
  - Make services available that won't be consumable because of access restrictions

- Being more asynchronous in distributed OSGi as well as the framework itself?
Eclipse ECF Project

Thanks spec writers
Thanks Scott Rosenbaum for making this talk happen
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