


Eclipse Legal Process

Start Here



What is the origin of the code?
See Figures 1 through 6 below



Eclipse Legal Process



Start Here

Everything Else

- This includes:
- 1) Initial contributions to a project
 - 2) Code containing cryptography
 - 3) Non-Eclipse Content (Definition Page 3)
 - 4) Code developed by Non-Committers, where workflow from Figure 2 or Figure 3 leads to Figure 13
 - 5) Re-use of Orbit components

Figure 6

Is the license EPL?

Figure 17

no

Is the license approved for use within Eclipse?
If uncertain, contact license@eclipse.org

Figure 19

yes

For an unmodified binary distribution, the following licenses are considered approved as of the date of this Poster's release:

- Apache Software License 1.1
- Apache Software License 2.0
- W3C Software License
- Common Public License Version 1.0
- IBM Public License 1.0
- Mozilla Public License Version 1.1
- Common Development and Distribution License (CDDL) Version 1.0
- GNU Free Documentation License Version 1.3
- BSD
- MIT

Licenses that are not approved as of the date of this Poster's release include:

- GNU GPL 2.0
- GNU LGPL
- Sun Binary Code License Agreement

Want to use a source distribution instead of a binary distribution? Want to distribute modified code? Want to use a license not contained in this list? Not certain of the answers? Contact license@eclipse.org.



Be prepared for a delay in response based on workload; planning ahead is best.

Make sure that you submit Contribution Questionnaire for EPL and non-EPL code separately, attaching the code to the related IPBug.

Adding the following information to your Contribution Questionnaire will help speed review:

- Is the use: binary or source
- Is the use: in modified or unmodified form
- Is this a standard binary distribution or are you sub setting?
- List all licenses that apply to the code
- If there is a single author? (obtaining an email from the author indicating they wrote 100% of the code and attaching it to your IPBug will speed review)
- Is there a clear mechanism by which contributors to the project agreed to submit their code to the project under the license provided?
- If there was a change in license, did those changing the license get the permission of all of the original authors?
- If this code has been previously reviewed and approved for use in Eclipse, identifying the bug that contains the prior approval, confirming that you are using the same related source code, and referencing that bug will help speed review

Do NOT submit to the repository yet. Fill out a Contribution Questionnaire on Committer Tools. This creates an IPZilla Bug that will enable you to monitor the Contribution and discuss it with the EMO and Community.

Figure 20

Attach related source code to your IPBug

Figure 21

A Member of your PMC must vote +1 on the IPBug Related to the Contribution

Figure 22

yes

Foundation confirms that it has received all necessary information and code & if the package uses a license not previously used at Eclipse, informs the Board.

Figure 23

Is your contribution eligible for parallel IP? A contribution is eligible for parallel IP if: (a) your project is incubating and in compliance with the logo guidelines for incubating projects. Conforming status is indicated by the following icon: or (b) In the case of mature projects, the contribution represents a minor change to a previously approved package.

Figure 24

yes

Is the License approved for use within Eclipse (based on the information provided by the Committer) and there are no other difficulties apparent from the code based on a high level review. See comment on Figure 19.

Figure 25

IPBug is marked with the keyword "checkintocvs"

Figure 26

Create about.html (if required) & commit to the repository. Don't forget to record the contribution in your Project IP Log! IP Due diligence will need to be completed before the incubating project can ship anything labeled as a release candidate or release.

Figure 27

Create about.html (if required) & commit to the repository. Don't forget to record the contribution in your Project IP Log!

Figure 30



no

no

EMO completes Due Diligence

Figure 28

Approved

Not approved

If applicable, Foundation advises Committer and Board of Approval (ref Figure 23)

Figure 29

Foundation advises Committer of Non-Approval

Figure 31

If Non-Approval relates to Incubating code in the repository, code must be removed.

Figure 32

Work with PMC to Examine Alternatives

Figure 33



Definitions:

“Project License” – your default Project license will be the EPL. Any other licensing strategy requires a unanimous vote of the Eclipse Board of Directors.

“Non-Eclipse Content” – any code maintained on servers other than those of the Eclipse Foundation.

“Under Supervision of the PMC” – refers to general supervision; sufficient to ensure the code being submitted is in line with the goals of the project from a technical standpoint. This level of supervision may vary by project. Determination is to be made by the relevant PMC of the project.

“Submitting Committer” – An Eclipse committer on the project at the time of development. Code developed prior to becoming an Eclipse committer requires due diligence review.

Third Party Dependencies:

Does your project work with or depend on other third party content?

Please consult the [Eclipse Third Party Dependency Policy](#)

http://www.eclipse.org/documents/Eclipse_Policy_and_Procedure_for_3rd_Party_Dependencies_Final.pdf

Moving Code to Eclipse:

Interested in moving code from somewhere else to Eclipse and maintaining it at Eclipse?

Contact emo@eclipse.org

Distributing Eclipse Projects, Plug-Ins & Bundles – Guidelines:

Release Candidate Distributions **must not contain** Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not “RC1” or final release “1.0”) distributions from other Eclipse Projects as such releases may contain non-reviewed and approved content.

Release Candidate Distributions **may pre-req** Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not “RC1” or final release “1.0”) distributions from other Eclipse Projects provided the downstream consumer is made aware that the content that is being pre-req'd may contain non-reviewed and approved content.

Non-Release Candidate Distributions **may contain** Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not “RC1” or final release “1.0”) distributions from other Eclipse Projects.

Non-Release Candidate Distributions **may pre-req** Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not “RC1” or final release “1.0”) distributions from other Eclipse Projects.

Simultaneous Release: All Projects participating in the Release Candidate for the simultaneous release must be Release Candidate themselves. The above guidelines apply to any Project wishing to pre-req or incorporate other Eclipse Projects.